बारिः आयुः (योजना) एम०पी०आ Dated 21-01-13 खायती नं Delhi Development Authority Enclosed Puc dt: 19/12/12 received from DDA Markets Joint Action Committee is regarding amendment in the Marter Plan 2021 grievances of demands from Shopkeepers of DDA Markets. The applicants have request & demanded that Mixed use fegulations should be withdrawn & compensation should be post given by DDA to each shopkeeper. A compact plan for redevelopment of DDA markets may be prepared by DDA. They have also requested that regularization of mouthnised constru excess coverage if any in DDA markets (cscis/cscis) should be considered along with the proposed amnesty scheme. AD (2/00 G) The refresention of the convicta is for unenderents in The mater Nace 202 i net efectse area q some 'G' source A grown to Dor mpl) to the ? n. a. Dor (15) / 48. A=(AP) - AN 18/1/2013 pl check, if we have away walt.

Director (Pig.) MPR/TC,

175

PDF processed with CutePDF evaluation edition www.CutePDF.com



Ref. No. DMJAC/

Dated. 19/12/12

Commissione - am - Lecretary B. Block, UCKAS Sadau Sharin sultaren - Infer va surt on Infer va surt on

5275MD

Sub: Amendments in the Master Phino2021, Grievances and Demands from Shopkeepers of DDA Markets.

AC(1) m. lather

Sir,

With reference to the Public Notice published in the Hindustan Times dated 01.10.12 seeking objections and suggestions from the public we regret to say that no relief has been given to the shopkeepers of DDA markets despite the fact that we have been fighting for our cause for over two decades. Rather it is observed that benefits has been given to the affluent section of the society. We again submit becomed our objection and suggestions for senous consideration as for as ODA markets are concerned

We had purchased our shops from UDA in various approved shopping centers pervading in DDA planned colonies on auction on this categorical assurance by the DDA that only those shops in shopping centers being auctioned would enter the needs of residents living in surrounding residential localities in vicinity as according to the provision of Master Plan. Development code and other statue Inder

which DDA planned colonies had been developed do not permit any conversion in use of land or properties in violation of set-norms in the Master Plan.

- 2. Consequently we offered our bids with free will and purchased the shops of our linking, keeping in view the assurance and the provisions relevant to land use existing in the Master Plan from very inception and other statutory books viz. DMC Act and DDA Act. We purchased the shops in competition paying much exorbitant price than the cost fixed by the DDA as "Reserved Price" with this end in view that we would be fetching lucrative business, earn sufficient amount as per our expectations to make our families living easy and honorably in future time to come.
- 3. But in a very short time our expectation proved abortive and were dashed when we saw that the unauthorized shops started cropping up in the Residential houses in contravention of the Master Plan & other existing rules and that the DDA's officials who were directly responsible for controlling the menace by initiating fear action against the violators / defaulters simply remained the mook spectators and nothing else to which we remained stunned. They were rather encouraging those violators for their unlawful activities perhaps because of their personal gains, forgetting this that they had been committing crime by abetting the violators to proceed for unlawful activities on their spontaneous will ignoring all the norms, which in fact was / is a "cognizable offence" punishable with heavy fine or simple imprisonment or with both.

- 4. Consequently the mass illegal conversion being ignored by the DDA have been leaving deterrent impact upon the business of our members, their shops gradually turned redundant as they had not been earning even that part of amount which was required by them for the maintenance of their shops. Resultantly the heavy investment which they had made for acquiring the shops as well as preparing them with furniture and fixture for adornment with good amount spent for goods and on establishment etc. etc. were appearing them to be a dead investment and rather a liability.
- 5. We had consequently been taking up the matter with DDA's officials and other high up of the Govt. as well as other relevant institution by lodging our protest and requested them to get the provision of Master Plan and other statues implemented honestly so that the position relating to unlawful conversion might not reach the worst stage, causing more injurious impact to the shops of our members but our all requests were given deaf ear by the DDA's officials particularly an other relevant authorities in general. They rather constrained us for seeking remedy through court and accordingly we filed a PIL which produced result compelling the govt. for exploring the remedy to set the thing right. DDA and relevant agencies including govt. explored the remedy giving benefit to the law breakers and not to the law abiding persons.
- 6. At the time of amendments in the Master Plan 2001 and on the formulation of Master Plan 2021, we submitted our objections / suggestions in respect of DDA plan colonies seeking justice to law abiding citizens / shopkeepers of DDA approved market but of no use. Ministry of Urban Development and DDA rather allowed without

deterrence commercialization of residential premises in DDA planned colonies in contravention of the land use plan of Master Plan which is the basic need of the planned colony/sub-city. The statutes/rules which in fact insinuate the conversion a cognizable offence, punishable with heavy fine or simple imprisonment or with both has been deliberately allowed to be flurt by unlawful persons ignoring all ethics forgetting the saving of innocent law-abiding persons was / is an obligation of the govt. which has been denied.

Recently also, while reviewing the Master Plan 2021 by the authority 7. we again our objections /suggestions for honest consideration. We raised our voice in person in the public meetings called by DDA and our voice was converted into script vide page 9 of the Time of India dt. 2.5.2012 (copy enclosed for perusal). Another meeting was called on 05.07.2012 under the Chairmanship of Engineer-Member, DDA, Vikas Sadan wherein we were invited to participate. We again raised our voice against the unauthorized commercialization of residential premises in DDA planned colonies and also against the Mixed Use Regulations which was/is unconstitutional and partial. As we could gather / feel that at heart of heart the committee members under the Chairmanship of Engineer-Member were agreeable unambiguously against the commercialization of residential premises but somehow even pricking their conscious neither members of the committee nor the Chairman of the committee could dare speak truth from their mouth. Rather, Chairman retorted to say that the present situation i.e. unauthorized commercialization of residential premises could not be reversed to which protest even today we because this is unconstitutional and is subversive to the cause of law-abiding citizens. In that situation, shopkeepers of DDA market are no where. The

Chairman of the committee looking into our suggestions, however, advised us to submit fresh proposals for consideration of DDA. The committee also advised us to submit a plan from architect for redevelopment of DDA market which in fact is no where relevant to our cause.

It will not be out of place to mention here that during the meeting of 8. DDA under the Chairmanship of Hon'ble Lt. Governor, Delhi held on 26.05.2011 while considering the agenda items of Policy on Farm Houses in Delhi (F3 (103)96/MP) Shri Subhash Chopra, Member stated that apart from farm houses there are unauthorized construction in various categories including plotted colonies of DDA Local Shopping Centres developed by DDA.... Dr. Harsh Vardhan, Member, inter-alia stated that the proposal for regularization of farms houses will only benefit affluent sections of society who own farm houses. He further stated that instead of considering a scheme for regularization of farm houses in isolation a comprehensive policy for regularization of all unauthorised construction should be brought before the Authority DDA. After detailed discussion it was decided recommendation for grant of general amnesty for building construction in violation of norms as contained in Tajendra Khanna Committee Report should also be brought for consideration along with the proposed Farm Houses Policy so that benefits of any regularization scheme are extended to all sections of the society.

In the Hindustan Times dt 01.09.2012 Union Minister for Urban Development has categorily stated that the master Plan has to be dictated by the market forces i.e. use of flexible and efficient use of land, marked dictated creation of commercial areas, higher FAR for

hospitals, motels etc But here we regret to say that policy for regularization of Farm Houses and Motels has been approved by DDA recently in isolation giving benefits to only affluent section of the society. Although the Hon'ble Union Minister is categorily of the view that Master Plan has to be dictated by the market forces yet we the discriminated section of the society and being the law abiding citizens of city have been fighting for genuine cause for over a decade but DDA and Urban Development Ministry are not coming forward to give helping hand to us. Here we dare to submit that relaxation to violators particularly to those who had violated the provision of Master Plan and other laws in DDA developed colonies all together would be and is unjustified straightway and a breach of trust with the shopkeepers in DDA market of the colonies because the Master Plan has special provision to where the commercialization of residential premises has not been as per Master Plan and change of land use in any way would be congnizable offence. However, as desired by the Chairman of Master Plan 2021 Review Committee we are submitting hereunder our proposals / demands for favourable consideration and we hope denial of the justice to the shopkeepers of DDA markets as of now will not be rectified and not be allowed to prevail.

DEMANDS / PROPOSALS

1. We protest and demand that "Mixed Use Regulations" which is unconstitutional and not in conformity with the law should be withdrawn otherwise the dream of beautification of Delhi city by the then Hon'ble Prime Minister of India, Shri Jawahar Lal Nehru and even present Government would get lost.

- 2. Having been highly and adversely affecting so far in the business of shopkeepers of DDA markets (CSCs/LSCs) and also day by day devaluation of our properties for the past over two decades due to unauthorized commercialization of residential premises, Metro corridors and industrial properties being allowed with the connivance of Government Departments, we demand that we should be compensated financially by paying ten times of the cost of shop paid to DDA by each shopkeeper.
- 3. In case the Urban Development Ministry / DDA are adamant not to withdraw, on political ground, the Mixed Use Regulations in DDA colonies they should compensate us further beside our demand at S.No. 2 above, by paying monthly interest @ 2.5% of the cost of shop paid to DDA by each shopkeeper on perpetuity.
- 4. As agreed during the meeting of Master Plan 2021 Review Committee held under the Chairmanship of Engineer-Member on 05.07.12 we should submit separately plan for redevelopment of markets for approval of DDA. In this regard we feel that it is quite impracticable to demolish and redevelop the market as a whole in one stroke as shops are owned severally not collectively. As such we submit that the compact plan for redevelopment of DDA market may be prepared by DDA and sanctioned as per law itself. The shopkeepers will thereafter redevelop their individual shops as per sanctioned plan.
- 5. Repeat not Repeat we have suffered / continue suffer a lot financially / physically / mentally (because of unauthorized commercialization of residential premises) we request that sanction charges and

regularization charges for us should be less than those applicable for the properties falling under the Mixed Used Regulations.

- In the meeting of DDA held on 26.05.2011 at Raj Niwas (under the 6. Chairmanship of Lt Governor) (Para XXI) it was decided that the recommendation for grant of general amnesty for building construction in violation of norms as contained in the Tajender Khanna Committee Report should be brought up for consideration..... so that benefits of any regularization scheme are extended to all sections of Society. Recently in the meeting held on 03.08.2012 it has been decided that General Amnesty Scheme be agreed to enable regularization of other buildings in the city subject to certain norms. Required regulations and procedures in this behalf are being worked out by the Department of Development, GNTCD. Accordingly, we request that Urban regularization of unauthorized construction / excess coverage, if any, in DDA markets (CSCs/LSCs) should be considered alongwith the proposed amnesty scheme in question as per provision of building byelaws.
- 7. In order to protect the goods of shop-keepers and also customers from the vagaries of weather / nature, there is a dire need of the provision of projections in front of the market where the projections have not been provided. We shall be grateful if permission is granted to construct the same by the shopkeepers / Traders Welfare Association at their own cost.
- 8. In one of the DDA meetings, Sh. Naseeb Singh, Member (Item no. 72/2011 meeting dt. 28.07.11) pointed out that DDA Shopping Centers lacked basic services and amenities like toilets, proper sewerage, water

connection etc. In the Action Taken Report it is inter-alia stated that "Certain new sites have been identified for toilet blocks in prominent areas for which DDA initiated action for construction". In this connection, we would also like to point out that still there are no toilets, water connection, sewerage facilities etc. in certain DDA markets. Where there are such facilities are not existing in DDA markets such facilities may be provided by DDA.

After going through our genuine grievances and demands brought out about we hope our demand will be considered in true spirit and Right to Justice enshrined in our Constitution is not denied to the law abiding citizens of the society like us.

We regret to say that your department has never acknowledged our letters nor have given response thereof although we have been writing over a decade.

We shall be obliged if your goodself kindly give us an appointment so that we could place our facts in person to make it more clarity to the deciding officers.

Thanking you, Sir, again and hoping for an early appointment.

Yours truly,

S.S. Bhati

S.S. Bhatia

(Executive Member)

Kamal Malhotra /

(Convener) /



THE TIMES OF INDIA. NEW DELHI J WEDNESDAY, MAY 2, 2012

PINOPIEL

THE TIMES OF INDIA, NEW DELHI WEDNESDAY, MAY 2, 2012

IMEG

Traders, Villagers Speak About Land Use & Extension Roads, Say Event Not Interactive Enough

RWA members, trade associongoing review of Master heard 159 presentations by aim to collect feedback for the its office in Rohini. With an thority (DDA) on Tuesday at by Delhi Development Ausecond open house organized lages had a lot to suggest at the dents of Pitampura, Ashok extension of small plots, resision roads to regularizing the Vihar Rohini and nearby viler planning of urban extenconversion charges and prop-New Delhi: From rebate in DDA planners

August Kranti Marg Delhi residents on May 8 at cussion is scheduled for south of the month. The next disan open house every Tuesday arions and individuals. The agency will now hold

said the open house didn't eave any scope for discussion were offered, many residents debate. However, they still Though many suggestions

existing colonies

> Allow upper floors of DDA

DDA markets to allow Increase floor-area ratio in

Allow extension of flats Regularize amalgamation of

extension road to bypass Change course of urban DDA housing societies within compoundable limits in

residential areas

to stop commercialization in ^{fe} Include stringent provisions

markets to be used for residential purposes

Don't finalize changes in (consolidation of land) is village as chakbandı land use proposed for Ladpur

> in approved DDA complexes the rights of the shopkeepers Master Plan and took away mixed land use violated the Bhatia spoke at length on how tion Committee member S S ion. DDA Markets Joint Acplatform to voice their opinconsidering it was the best

upto 50% ground coverage lowed to expand their shops ed that shopkeepers be alket association also suggestnipped in the bud." The mardential premises commercialization of resirevised Master Plan so that should be introduced in the said. "A stringent provision rehabilitated in vacant commercial plots of DDA, "Bhatia mercialized premises creasing day by day. We sugand dwelling units are degest that shopkeepers in com-Master Plan. Residential land way permissible under the residential premises is in no Commercialization of Can

said a trade plots of DDA, vacant commercial rehabilitated in commercial areas be shopkeepers in We suggest that under the Master Plan "Commercialization of In no way permissible residential premises is

area on hold. "We want more residential land in our village DDA to put all its plans for the er areas, the villagers want 1908. Unlike residents of oth-

waiting for consolidation of land, or chakbandi, since house. The village has been were also present at the open pur village beyond Rohini

missibicland use," he said. there may be a conflict on pereventually under chakbandi. of residential land happens bas from Ladpur Welfare So ciety: 'But when demarcation where around 200 houses alpened so fat." said Rajest Da No development has hapand land for semi-public use. provision of residential units ready exist. There is also a green zone around the village Master Plan has provided a now On the other hand, the for chakbandi for 104 years

independent planners were notsoiveany purpose "Three teractive. Otherwise, it does this open house were more inbascatted MCD elections last month. Dabas added, "I wish tion of over 10,000, had even The village, with a populaRepresentatives of Lad though we have been wairing

ing to the suggestions. also a part of the panel listen

AND COMMENSATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER

with a floor area ratio of 200.